

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/787,531 02/26/2004		Rainer Grimm	60,130-2015 03MRA0012	1215		
26096	7590 12/08/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C. 400 WEST MAPLE ROAD			PEDDER, DENNIS H			
SUITE 350	an EE ROME		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009			3612			
			DATE MAII ED: 12/08/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	\						
Office Action Summary		10/787,53	1	GRIMM ET AL.		V					
		Examiner		Art Unit							
		Dennis H.		3612							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply											
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).											
Status											
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·									
2a) <u></u> ☐	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.										
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is										
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.										
Dispositi	on of Claims										
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.										
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.										
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.										
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-10 is/are rejected.										
•	☑ Claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are objected to. ☑ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.										
ا (۵	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election re	equirement.								
Applicati	on Papers										
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.											
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 February 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.											
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).										
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.											
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119										
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).							
,	⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:		3								
,	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.										
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	nts have bee	n received in Applicati	on No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority docume	ents have been receive	ed in this National	l Stage						
	application from the International Burea	-									
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certif	ied copies not receive	ed.							
Attachmen	ut/c)										
_	te of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)							
2) Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	••	Paper No(s)/Mail Da		O-152\						
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/25/04</u> .	8)	6) Other:	Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/787,531 Page 2

Art Unit: 3612

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: claim 8.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

"Holding element", claim 4 lacks antecedent as two such elements are claimed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-2, 4, 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by BMW BMW, applicant cited DE 10130405 (405).

BMW has holding elements 4,5, flexible deflector 1, and resilient element 11 on the deflector, clearly pushing the deflector from the figure 2 raised position to the figure 1 lowered position.

Application/Control Number: 10/787,531 Page 3

Art Unit: 3612

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 8. Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over BMW (405).

  Piping to hold a flexible element is common knowledge in this art and not indicative of patentability, but obvious to use here to securely hold the deflector.

As to claim 8, piping by its very nature prevents weather intrusion.

Applicant may seasonally challenge, for the official record in this application, this and any other statement of judicial notice in timely manner in response to this office action. Please specify the exact statement to be challenged. Applicant is reminded, with respect to the specific challenge put forth, of the duty of disclosure under Rule 56 to disclose material which is pertinent to patentability including claim rejections challenged by applicant.

Art Unit: 3612

# Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Japan 2002-127752 shows an attached weatherstrip and flexible deflector.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis H. Pedder whose telephone number is (703) 308-2178. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn D. Dayoan can be reached on (703) 308-3102. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dennis H. Pedder Primary Examiner Art Unit 3612

12/6/04

Application/Control Number: 10/787,531 Page 5

Art Unit: 3612

DHP

12/6/2004